COURSE EVALUATION REPORT

Type	Evaluation of implemented SWARM course
Institution	UPKM - Faculty of Technical Sciences
Course title	Advanced techniques in wastewater treatment
ECTS	6
Reporting date	12/4/2021
Report author(s)	Prof. Dr. Nebojsa Arsic, JelenaDjokic, Aleksandra Petrovic, Vladimir Maksimovic, JulijanaVrzic

University of Nis

Strengthening of master curricula in water resources management for the Western Balkans HEIs and stakeholders

www.swarm.ni.ac.rs

SWARM COURSE DESCRIPTION

with special reference to learning outcomes

| Course description: |
|---|
| Course objective

Acquisition of necessary knowledge and skills in the field of wastewater treatment (treatment) and wastewater treatment plants (purifiers).

Learning outcomes

Students acquire knowledge of wastewater preparation and treatment technology, students will have skills in the application of advanced physical-chemical and biological treatment procedures. Students will have the competencies to choose the purification process that corresponds to the situation in the field and to apply the chosen methods. |

Problems encountered during the realization of course

Please add your comments, if any:

Evaluation details

Results of general evaluation of implemented course

Description
Table/Figure

| General evaluation of implemented course					
Grading	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
How do you judge the ECTS of the course in comparison with the respective work load?	3	0	0	0	0
In this course, are students encouraged to participate actively in class (e.g., through group work, as well as self-regulated, problem-oriented learning)?	3	0	0	0	0
In this course, is the infrastructure (size and condition of the room, technical equipment) is very good?	2	1	0	0	0
Are the subjects dealt with in this course often discussed in relationship to practical examples (relevance to practice)?	3	0	0	0	0
Overall, this course fosters very strongly my interest in this subject	3	0	0	0	0

Structure of the project

Results of evaluation of teaching staff

Description
Table/Figure

Evaluation of teaching staff						
Grading	No	Slightly	Moderate	Yes	Very much	I do not know/ I don't answer
Does the teacher make complex theory understandable?	0	0	0	0	3	0
Does the teaching staff properly organize the presentation of the course material?	0	0	0	0	3	0
Does the lecturer encourage the students to ask questions and comment in a critical way?	0	0	0	0	2	1
Is the teaching staff accessible to the students?	0	0	0	0	3	0

Implementation of the project aactivities

Question	Value
Is the teaching staff accessible to the students?	5
Does the lecturer encourage the students to ask questions and comment in a critical way?	~4.67
Does the teaching staff properly organize the presentation of the course material?	5
Does the teacher make complex theory understandable?	5

(Axis: 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.9 5 5.1)

Results of evaluation of summarized questions

Description						
Table/Figure						

Evaluation of summarized questions						
Grading	Bad	Not good	Moderate	Good	Very good	I do not know/ I don't answer
Overall, which is your opinion about the course?	0	0	0	0	3	0
Overall, which is your opinion for the teaching staff?	0	0	0	0	3	0

Dissemination

Overall, which is your opinion for the teaching staff?	(bar reaching 5)
Overall, which is your opinion about the course?	(bar reaching 5)

0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Additional comments

What I especially like about the course?

Please add your comments, if any:

What could be improved in the course?

Please add your comments, if any:

Comments regarding the questions/the evaluation

Please add your comments, if any:

Please indicate your suggestions for further improvement:

_____
_____
_____
_____
_____
_____

Place and date: Prof. Dr. Jelena Djokic

Kosovska Mitrovica, 12th April 2021